The Pt–Cl bonds [2.299 (2) and 2.296 (2) Å] and the Pt–N bonds [2.041 (6) and 2.053 (6) Å] are normal and agree well with published values found in *trans*-aminochloroplatinum(II) compounds (Rochon, Kong & Melanson, 1984; Lock & Zvagulis, 1981). The two *trans* organic ligands are arranged so that one ligand is above the platinum plane, while the second is below the plane. The whole molecule has an approximate centre of symmetry (Figs. 1 and 2).

The structure of the organic ligands is normal. All the angles are close to the tetrahedral values  $(107-112^{\circ})$ . The angles around the bonded N atoms [Pt-N-C = 122.9 (5) and 123.1 (5)^{\circ}] are quite far from the tetrahedral value, but similar values  $(113-122^{\circ})$  have been observed for these types of compounds (Rochon, Kong & Melanson, 1984; Lock & Zvagulis, 1981; Rochon & Melanson, 1982).

A projection down the x axis of the packing of the molecules is shown in Fig. 2. The crystal consists of layers of molecules parallel to the *ac* plane. The layers are held together in the **b** direction by van der Waals forces between the  $-CH_3$  groups. Inside the layers, the structure is stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the amino groups and the chlorine atoms. The N···Cl distances vary from 3.335 (6) to 3.504 (6) Å and the C-N···Cl angles from 100.3 (4) to 133.1 (5)°.

Grateful acknowledgments are made to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic diagram of the packing in the *trans*- $[Pt{NH_2-C(CH_3)_3}_2Cl_2]$  crystal (*b* axis horizontal and *c* axis vertical).

and to the Ministère de l'Education (FCAC) for financial support.

### References

- COPPENS, P. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. A 26, 71–83. CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17–23.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104–109.
- LOCK, C. J. L. & ZVAGULIS, M. (1981). Inorg. Chem. 20, 1817–1823.
- MELANSON, R., HUBERT, J. & ROCHON, F. D. (1975). Can. J. Chem. 53, 1139-1143.
- ROCHON, F. D., KONG, P. C. & MELANSON, R. (1984). Can. J. Chem. In the press.
- Rochon, F. D. & Melanson, R. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1133-1136.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 352-355

## 1,1,1,2,2,2,3,3,3-Nonacarbonyl- $\mu_3$ -cyclopropylmethylidyne-*triangulo*-tricobalt, $(\mu_3-c-C_3H_5C)Co_3(CO)_9$

# By Paul F. Seidler, Stephen T. McKenna, Matthew A. Kulzick and Thomas M. Gilbert Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 29 June 1984; accepted 30 October 1984)

Abstract.  $M_r = 481.98$ , triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 7.8772 (6), b = 14.3778 (17), c = 15.7851 (16) Å, a = 103.700 (9),  $\beta = 100.877$  (7),  $\gamma = 99.365$  (8)°, V = 1664.9 (7) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.923$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, Mo Ka,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å,  $\mu = 29.93$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 944, T = 296 K, R = 2.7%,  $R_w = 3.6\%$ ,  $R_{all} = 3.7\%$ , S = 1.67 for 3691 observations with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ . The final structure clearly shows the staggering of the Co-Co-Co and C-C-C rings in a nearly threefold symmetric environment. There are no intermolecular contacts shorter than 2.70 Å.

Introduction. A recently developed and important class of organometallic clusters is the alkylidynetricobalt nonacarbonyl series (Seyferth, 1976). Such compounds have been shown to exhibit interesting reactivity (Palyi, Piacenti & Marko, 1970; Seyferth, 1976; Seidler, Bryndza, Frommer, Stuhl & Bergman, 1983; and references therein), and have even found use as catalyst precursors for certain transformations (Seyferth & Withers, 1983).

Sutton & Dahl (1967) first structurally characterized a member of this class using film data; more recently,

0108-2701/85/030352-04\$01.50

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Positional parameters, equivalent isotropicthermal parameters and their estimated standarddeviations

$B_{eq} =$	$\frac{4}{3} a^2B(1,$	1) +	$b^2B(2,$	2) +	- c <sup>2</sup>	B(3,3)	+	$ab(\cos\gamma)B(1,2)$	+
$ac(\cos\beta)B(1,3) + bc(\cos\alpha)B(2,3) .$									

	x	у	Ζ	$B_{eq}(\dot{A}^2)$
Co(1)	0.12261 (5)	-0.30524(3)	0-46586 (3)	2.809 (9
Co(2)	0.42814(5)	-0.22137(3)	0.47705 (3)	2.865 (9)
Co(3)	0.28864(5)	-0.17659(3)	0.60421 (3)	2.797 (9
Co(4)	0.02925 (5)	0.19022(3)	-0.11764(3)	3.21(1)
Co(5)	0.33304 (6)	0.20011(3)	-0.10367(3)	3.36(1)
Co(6)	0.27906(6)	0.17298(3)	-0.00576(3)	3.37(1)
0(11)	-0.0802(3)	-0.1916(2)	0.3684(2)	5.87 (7)
O(12)	0.1091(4)	-0.4782(2)	0.3221(2)	6.60 (8)
O(13)	-0.1432(4)	-0.3984(2)	0.5424(2)	7.68 (9)
O(21)	0.3487(3)	-0.0709(2)	0.3854(2)	5.75 (7)
O(22)	0.7938 (3)	-0.1460(2)	0.5792 (2)	6.35 (8)
O(23)	0.5147 (4)	-0.3663(2)	0.3384(2)	7.45 (9)
O(31)	0.1776 (3)	-0.0041(2)	0-5583 (2)	5-57 (7)
O(32)	0.0605 (3)	-0·2224 (2)	0.7215(2)	6.16(7)
O(33)	0.6017 (4)	-0.0770(2)	0.7488 (2)	6.46 (8)
O(41)	0.0177 (4)	0.0168 (2)	-0.2652 (2)	6.34 (8)
O(42)	-0.1675 (4)	0.3124(2)	-0.2018(2)	7.46 (8)
O(43)	-0·2524 (3)	0.1174 (2)	-0.0389 (2)	7.44 (9)
O(51)	0.4417 (4)	0.1407 (2)	-0.2422 (2)	7.09 (8)
O(52)	0.6662 (4)	0.4043 (3)	0.0086 (2)	9.4(1)
O(53)	0.2309 (4)	0.4224 (2)	-0.1975 (2)	7.46 (9)
O(61)	0.3473 (4)	-0.0102 (2)	-0.1121 (2)	6.27 (8)
O(62)	0.0746 (4)	0.0969(2)	0.1094 (2)	6.75 (8)
O(63)	0.6143 (4)	0.2487 (3)	0.1285 (2)	7.25 (9)
C(1)	0.3388 (4)	-0.2983 (2)	0.5492 (2)	2.86 (7)
C(2)	0.4043 (4)	-0·3764 (2)	0.5812 (2)	3.87 (8)
C(3)	0.5418 (5)	-0-3555 (3)	0-6666 (3)	5-6(1)
C(4)	0-3591 (5)	-0.4051 (3)	0.6608 (3)	5-3(1)
C(5)	0.1907 (4)	0.2865 (2)	-0.0177 (2)	3.23 (7)
C(6)	0-1569 (5)	0-3721 (3)	0.0429 (3)	5.1(1)
C(7)	0.0896 (6)	0-3691 (4)	0.1219 (3)	7.3(1)
C(8)	0.2729 (6)	0-4242 (3)	0-1313 (3)	6-8(1)
C(11)	-0.0027 (4)	-0.2339 (2)	0.4063 (2)	3.66 (8)
C(12)	0.1143 (5)	-0-4108 (3)	0-3775 (2)	4.12 (9)
C(13)	-0.0395 (5)	-0.3616 (3)	0-5136 (2)	4.24 (9)
C(21)	0.3809 (4)	-0.1278 (2)	0.4206 (2)	3.67 (8)
C(22)	0.6519 (4)	-0.1731(3)	0.5403 (2)	3.89 (8)
C(23)	0-4783 (4)	-0.3102 (3)	0.3914(2)	4.30 (9)
C(31)	0.2166 (4)	-0.0698 (2)	0.5767 (2)	3.55 (8)
C(32)	0.1476 (4)	-0.2043(3)	0.6756 (2)	3.81 (8)
C(33)	0.4825 (4)	-0.1158(3)	0.6923(2)	4.19 (9)
C(41)	0.0188 (5)	0.0826(3)	-0.2101 (2)	4.29 (9)
C(42)	-0.0899 (5)	0.2654(3)	-0.1/02(3)	4 · / (1)
C(43)	0.1418(4)	0.1454(3)	-0.0692 (2)	4.46 (9)
	0.4014(4)	0.1940 (3)	-0.1898(2)	4.50 (9)
C(22)	0.3373(5)	0.3551(3)	-0.0355 (3)	5.3(1)
C(23)	0.2097(3)	0.3072(3)	-0.1011(3)	4.9(1)
C(01)	0.1530(5)	0.0391(3)	-0.0712(2)	4.25 (9)
C(63)	0.4852 (5)	0.12/1(3) 0.2192(2)	0.0762 (2)	4.46 (9)
C 1921	V 40.12 (.))	11-2 - 76 - 11	1111/11/11/11	4.7

published reviews have described the interesting structural features of these compounds (Palyi, Piacenti & Marko, 1970; Penfold & Robinson, 1973; Schmidt, 1978). In order to add to the available data, and also to investigate the possibility of interaction between the strained cyclopropyl ring and the tricobalt ring, we chose to determine the molecular structure of the title compound,  $(\mu_3$ -c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C)Co<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>.

**Experimental.** Material prepared from butyl cyclopropanecarbodithioate and  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (Patin, Mignani & van Hulle, 1979), crystallized from hot methanol under argon as oblong burgundy flakes; data crystal  $0.12 \times 0.15 \times 0.50$  mm, mounted on a glass fiber with polycyanoacrylate cement with the major crystal axis approximately perpendicular to the fiber axis; Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with graphiteTable 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°)

Co(1) - Co(2) = 2.464(1)	Co(4) - Co(5)	2.471(1)		
Co(1) - Co(3) = 2.474(1)	Co(4) - Co(6)	2.471(1)		
Co(2)-Co(3) = 2.470(1)	Co(5)-Co(6)	2.471(1)		
Co(1) - C(1) = 1.918(2)	Co(4) - C(5)	1.912(3)		
$C_0(2) - C(1) = 1.913(3)$	$C_0(5) - C(5)$	1.911 (3)		
Co(3) C(1) 1-900 (2)	$C_{0}(6) - C(5)$	1.911 (3)		
C(1)-C(2) 1.463 (4)	C(5) - C(6)	1.466 (4)		
C(2) - C(3) = 1.494(4)	C(6) - C(7)	1.452 (5)		
$C(2) \cdot C(4) = 1.497(4)$	C(6) - C(8)	1-465 (5)		
C(3) C(4) 1.473 (5)	C(7) - C(8)	1.492 (5)		
$C_{0}$ - C(axial carbonyl) (average)	1-834 (3)			
Co C(equatorial carbonyl) (average)	1.735 (3)			
C = O(carbonyl) (average)	1,128 (4)			
	, ,20(1)			
$C_0(1) - C(1) - C_0(2) = 80 \cdot 1(1)$	Co(4) - C(5) - Co(5)	80.5(1)		
Co(1) - C(1) - Co(3) = 80.8(1)	Co(4) - C(5)-Co(6)	80.6(1)		
$Co(2) - C(1) - Co(3) = 80 \cdot 8(1)$	Co(5)-C(5)-Co(6)	80.6(1)		
C(2) - C(3) - C(4) 60.6 (2)	C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	59.7 (3)		
C(3) - C(2) - C(4) 59.0 (2)	C(7)-C(6)-C(8)	61.5(3)		
C(1)-C(2)-H(2) 104-0 (2)	C(5)-C(6)-H(6)	100.6 (3)		
C(1) C(2) - C(3) 122-2 (3)	C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	124.0 (3)		
C(1) - C(2) - C(4) = 122 - 6(3)	C(5)-C(6)-C(8)	123-7 (3)		
C(1) -Co(1) -C(12) 101-9 (1)	C(5)-Co(5) C(52)	100.7(1)		
$C(1) - Co(1) - C(13) = 102 \cdot 0(1)$	C(5)-Co(5)-C(53)	102.9(1)		
C(1) Co(2) - C(22) = 100.9(1)	C(5)-Co(4)-C(42)	101-3(1)		
$C(1) \cdot Co(2) \cdot C(23) = 102 \cdot 8(1)$	C(5)-Co(4)-C(43)	102-8(1)		
$C(1) Co(3) - C(32) = 105 \cdot 2(1)$	C(5)-Co(6)-C(62)	102.9(1)		
C(1) Co(3) C(33) = 104.5(1)	C(5)-Co(6)-C(63)	105-1 (1)		
Co C-O (average) 178-7 (3)				

monochromated Mo Ka radiation; 24 well centered reflections with  $27^{\circ} < 2\theta < 36^{\circ}$  used to obtain lattice parameters; 4543 data collected at 296 (2) K over 86 h using  $\theta - 2\theta$  scans from  $3 < 2\theta < 45^{\circ}$  with  $(\sin\theta/\lambda)_{max}$  $= 0.538 \text{ Å}^{-1}; h \pm 8, k \pm 15, l 0 \text{ to} + 16; \text{ intensity}$ standards 423, 541, 426 every 2 h of exposure; data corrected for isotropic decay due to radiation damage to a maximum of 21.7%; orientation standards checked every 250 reflections with no reorientation necessary; data reduction through Frenz (1981) Structure Determination Package providing scattering factors and corrections for anomalous dispersion (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974) and all other programs; data analytically corrected for absorption, with correction based on crystal shape;  $\mu =$  $29.93 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , maximum transmission coefficient 0.73, minimum 0.30, average 0.60; correction for secondary extinction,  $g = 1.443 \times 10^{-7}$  (refined); phasing via MULTAN11/82 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1982); balance of nonhydrogen atoms located through combination of difference Fourier and SEARCH techniques and refined anisotropically with full-matrix non-linear least squares; function minimized in least squares was  $\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2$ where  $w = 1/\sigma(F_0^2)$ , and  $\sigma(F_0^2) = \{(\sigma_I)^2 + [0.035 \times$  $(F_{o})^{2}]^{2}$ , where  $\sigma_{I}$  is based on counting statistics; all H-atom positions calculated (not refined) based on the attached C at fixed distances (0.95 Å); all H atoms given fixed isotropic thermal parameters based on the attached C; largest feature in final difference Fourier map  $0.59 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  near C(6); refining 452 variables against 4352 unique data, 3691 with I > $3\sigma(I)$ , gave R = 0.027,  $R_w = 0.036$ ,  $R_{all} = 0.037$ ,  $S = 1.67, (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.15.$ 

**Discussion.** Data appropriate to discussion of the structure are given in Tables 1 and 2.\*

The data clearly demonstrate that  $(\mu_3 - c - C_3 H_5 C) Co_3 (CO)_9$  exists as discrete molecules in the solid state; no intermolecular contacts shorter than  $2 \cdot 70$  Å were observed.

As may be seen from Table 2 and Fig. 1, the three Co atoms form an equilateral triangle within experimental error, with average bond distances of 2.470 (2) Å. The structure of the nonacarbonyltricobalt fragment of the molecule is what would be expected intuitively for such a species. The carbonyl ligands have quite normal bonding distances and the Co-C-O angles are essentially linear. One interesting result is that the average Co-C(equatorial carbonyl) bond distance, e.g. Co(1)-C(12), is approximately 0.05 Å shorter than the average Co-C(axial carbonyl) bond distance, e.g. Co(1)-C(11), although all C-O carbonyl bond lengths are experimentally equal. This result has been observed previously (Leung, Coppens, McMullan & Koetzle, 1981) for  $(\mu_3$ -HC)Co<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> in both neutron and X-ray studies.

The average Co–C(alkylidyne) bond distance is 1.911 (4) Å, with the C lying 1.271 (3) Å above the tricobalt plane. These distances agree well with the respective values of 1.90 (2) and 1.25 (3) Å determined for  $(\mu_3$ -CH<sub>3</sub>C)Co<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> (Sutton & Dahl, 1967).

\* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, positional and isotropic thermal parameters for calculated H atoms, raw bond distances and angles, and selected least-squares planes have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39874 (43 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Fig. 1. Atomic labeling (*ORTEP*, Johnson, 1965) for  $(\mu_3 - c - C_3H_5C)Co_3(CO)_9$ , viewed approximately along the methylidyne axis.

The effect of increased C p orbital contribution to the C-C bonding (Streitweiser & Heathcock, 1981) may be seen by considering the C-C bond distances of the cyclopropyl fragment. The average C(alkylidyne)-C(cyclopropyl) bonding distance is 1.465 (4) Å, significantly shorter than the average  $sp^3 - sp^3 C - C$  bond length of 1.54 Å (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1968) and shorter even than the analogous bond in methylcyclopropane, observed to be 1.517 (2) Å (Klein & Schrumpf, 1981), and the analogous bond in  $(\mu_3$ -CH<sub>3</sub>C)Co<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>, reported as 1.53 (3) Å (Sutton & Dahl, 1967). In fact, this distance approximates the  $sp-sp^3$  C-C bond length of 1.460 (3) Å observed for propyne,  $CH_3C \equiv CH$ (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1968). Unusually short bonds to the alkylidyne C have been previously observed in this class of tricobalt clusters (Penfold & Robinson, 1973). For example, a 1.37 (1) Å carbon(alkylidyne)–carbon(alkylidyne) bond is found in (CO)<sub>9</sub>Co<sub>3</sub>C-CCo<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> (Brice & Penfold, 1972).

The cyclopropyl C atoms have bonding distances and angles which suggest, within experimental error, that the atoms form an equilateral triangle, but the bonding distances, which range from 1.452 (5) to 1.497 (4) Å, are again significantly shorter than the  $sp^3-sp^3$  value of 1.54 Å quoted above, and in fact are considerably shorter than the distance of 1.510 Å observed for free cyclopropane (Streitweiser & Heathcock, 1981) and the 1.509 (1) Å observed for methylcyclopropane (Klein & Schrumpf, 1981).

The three-membered rings adopt a staggered conformation, apparently to minimize nonbonding contacts (Fig. 1). As evidence of slight steric repulsion, the data show that the cyclopropyl ligand 'pushes' the equatorial carbonyl C atoms on the eclipsed Co atom |Co(3)|0.07-0.10 Å closer to the Co<sub>3</sub> plane than the other equatorial carbonyl C atoms. However, this push represents the only interaction between the two rings which we could detect.

We thank Drs F. J. Hollander, K. N. Raymond, and Sung-Hou Kim, and Mr Robert Scarrow for their patience, tutelage and assistance. Funds for the structure were provided by the College of Chemistry as part of the CHM 295 series of courses; partial funding for the X-ray diffraction equipment was provided by NSF Grant CHE 79-07027. STM and PFS are grateful for NSF predoctoral fellowships.

#### References

- BRICE, M. D. & PENFOLD, B. R. (1972). *Inorg. Chem.* 11, 1381–1384.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1981). Structure Determination Package, 4th ed. B. A. FRENZ & Associates, College Station, Texas 77840.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1968). Vol. III, pp. 275–276. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)



- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KLEIN, A. W. & SCHRUMPF, G. (1981). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 35, 425–430.
- LEUNG, P., COPPENS, P., MCMULLAN, R. K. & KOETZLE, T. F. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1347–1352.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- PALYI, G., PIACENTI, F. & MARKO, L. (1970). Inorg. Chim. Acta Rev. 4, 109-121.

- PATIN, H., MIGNANI, G. & VAN HULLE, M. (1979). *Tetrahedron* Lett. 26, 2441–2442.
- PENFOLD, B. R. & ROBINSON, B. H. (1973). Acc. Chem. Res. 6, 73-80.
- SCHMIDT, G. (1978). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 17, 392-400.
- SEIDLER, P. F., BRYNDZA, H. E., FROMER, J. E., STUHL, L. S. & BERGMAN, R. G. (1983). Organometallics, 2, 1701-1705.
- SEYFERTH, D. (1976). Adv. Organomet. Chem. 14, 97-144.
- SEYFERTH, D. & WITHERS, H. P. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 2931-2936.

STREITWEISER, A. & HEATHCOCK, C. H. (1981). Introduction to Organic Chemistry, second edition, p. 84. New York: Macmillan.

SUTTON, P. W. & DAHL, L. F. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 261–268.

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 355-358

### Structure of Monoclinic Sodium (Ethylenediaminetetraacetato)holmate(III) Octahydrate, Na[Ho( $C_{10}H_{12}N_2O_8$ )].8H<sub>2</sub>O: Disorder Induced by Radiation

BY LIESELOTTE K. TEMPLETON, DAVID H. TEMPLETON AND ALLAN ZALKIN

Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 25 September 1984; accepted 1 November 1984)

Abstract.  $M_r = 620.3$ , monoclinic, Fd11, a =19.333 (3),  $\dot{b} = 35.366$  (6), c = 12.106 (2) Å,  $\alpha =$ 90.40 (10)°, V = 8277.0 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 16 [conventional setting: Cc, a = 12.106(2), b = 19.333(3), c = $\beta = 108.54 (10)^{\circ}, \quad Z = 8],$ 18.650 (3) Å,  $D_{r} =$ 1.991 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, Mo Ka,  $\lambda(\alpha_1) = 0.70930$  Å,  $\mu =$  $39.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 4924, T = 294 K, R = 0.026 for4843 reflections. The atomic positions are very similar to those in the analogous compounds of lighter lanthanoid elements which crystallize in the orthorhombic space group Fdd2. The monoclinic symmetry comes from ordering of water molecules in alternate sites which are occupied randomly in the orthorhombic structure. Exposure to X-rays induces disorder which makes the crystals more nearly orthorhombic. The Dy compound of the same composition is isomorphous with a = 19.358 (3), b = 35.408 (3), c = 12.103 (5) Å,  $\alpha = 90.34 (3)^{\circ}$ .

**Introduction.** Crystal structure parameters were desired for the title salt,



so that it could be used to measure anomalousscattering terms for Ho at various wavelengths

0108-2701/85/030355-04\$01.50

(Chapuis, Templeton & Templeton, 1985) by analysis of diffraction intensities. We had expected the crystals to have the orthorhombic structure with space group *Fdd2* which has been reported for the analogous salts of Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy and Er (Hoard, Lee & Lind, 1965; Koetzle & Hamilton, 1975; Nassimbeni, Wright, van Niekerk & McCallum, 1979; Templeton, Templeton, Zalkin & Ruben, 1982). Indeed, the diffraction patterns are very similar to those of the orthorhombic crystals, but close inspection reveals monoclinic symmetry with twice as large an asymmetric unit. We describe the structure in space group Fd11 (an unconventional setting of Cc) because that gives cell dimensions and atomic coordinates which are nearly the same as for Fdd2. We find the same monoclinic structure for crystals of the Dy compound, contrary to the findings of Nassimbeni et al. (1979). A recent neutron-diffraction study by Engel, Takusagawa & Koetzle (1984) of the orthorhombic Sm compound found disorder of water molecules among two sets of sites. The monoclinic symmetry involves an ordering of the occupancy of these same sites.

**Experimental.** The compound was made from  $Ho_2O_3$  (Research Chemical Corporation) dissolved in HCl and mixed with a hot solution of  $Na_2H_2(edta).2H_2O$  (Aldrich Chemical Company); pH adjusted to about 5 with NaOH; slow evaporation, then recrystallization

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography